

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,370	05/11/2001	Donald S. Gardner	42390P11265	7273
8791	7590 07/30/2002			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
	SHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR ELES, CA 90025		NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	-

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/853,370

Applicant(s)

853,370

Tuyen T. Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2832

Gardner

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.		
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is continuities tori, even in turiory filed, friay reduce any		
Status		_		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 8, 200			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims 1-38	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	fiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
🗀	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona			
15) L	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 2832

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a transformer, classified in class 336, subclass 200.

Π. Claims 20-38, drawn to a method of forming a transformer, classified in class 29,

subclass 602.1.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions [I] and [II] are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made

by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the transformer

can be made using a print screening process.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

- Embodiment 1:

Figures 1-2.

- Embodiment 2:

Figures 3-7.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/853,370

Art Unit: 2832

- Embodiment 3:

Figure 8.

- Embodiment 4:

Figures 9-10.

- Embodiment 5:

Figures 11-12.

- Embodiment 6:

Figure 13.

- Embodiment 7;

Figure 14.

- Embodiment 8:

Figure 15.

- Embodiment 9:

Figure 16.

- Embodiment 10:

Figure 17.

- Embodiment 11:

Figure 18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/853,370

application control (tailloc).

Art Unit: 2832

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds

one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a

rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Tuyen T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0821.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Elvin Enad, can be reached at (703) 308-7619. The fax number for this Group is (703)872-9318

before the final office action, if the response is after final office action the fax number is (703)872-

9319.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application of proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TTN TW

July 25, 2002

Trufu Ngrugh

Page 4